

DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,350	02/09/2005	Sergey Vasilievich Marutian	P06835US00	2639
22885	7590 01/12/2009		EXAM	IINER
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.				
801 GRAND A SUITE 3200	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/500,350	MARUTIAN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Katherine A. Bareford	1792			

The Appeal Brief filed on 17 November 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR

41.37 To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer, EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. 1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. 2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). 3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). 4. \(\tag{a}\) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) 6. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). 8. A The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). 9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10.

✓ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): See Continuation Sheet. /Katherine A Bareford/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): As to Box 8: on page 8 of the appeal brief, appellant refers to attached as Exhibit ("See e.g. definition of "Mandrel test" on "composite about.com", statehed as Exhibit "1". However, in the "Evidence Appendix" (page 18 of the appeal brief), this is not provided and, in fact, under the heading "Evidence Appendix", "None" is stated. Therefore, referred to Evidence is missing. Evidence used should be provided as noted in MPEP 1020s.Oz. under "(ix) Evidence Appendix". Appellant should note, however, the discussion of unentered evidence (as this evidence does not appear to have been previously provided).

Furthermore, the Examiner notes that at page 1 of the appeal brief, under the heading "REAL PARTY IN INTEREST", appellant indicates that the inventors are the real parties in thierest in this case. This is confusion, as the assignment data in this case indicates that the invention has been assigned to Zakrytoe Aktsionemo Obschestvo "Mezhotraslevoe Juridicheskoe Agentstvo "Jurpromkonsalting". (See Application Data sheet of June 24, 2004, page 30)